

1 PAUL V. LANKFORD, CA BAR NO. 181506
2 plankford@thelenreid.com
3 LYNN R. FIORENTINO, CA BAR NO. 226691
4 lfiorentino@thelenreid.com
5 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
6 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
7 San Francisco, California 94105
8 Telephone: (415) 371-1200
9 Facsimile: (415) 371-1211

10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY, LIMITED

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK,

USDC Case No: C 06 1936 PJH

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY,
19 LIMITED et al.,

20 Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
RESPOND TO UNVERIFIED
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, AND
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE AND RELATED DATES**

21 This stipulation is made by and between plaintiffs Robert Click and Marie Click
22 (“plaintiffs”) and defendant Dorman Long Technology Limited (“DLT”), by and through their
23 respective attorneys of record, The Arns Law Firm and Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP.

24 **RECITALS**

25 The stipulation is based on the following facts:

- 26 1. DLT was served with plaintiffs’ Unverified Complaint for Damages and Demand
27 for Jury Trial (“the Complaint”) on or about April 27, 2006.
28 2. At the time DLT was served with the Complaint, DLT was already a party
defendant to plaintiffs’ first complaint, Case No. 05-03037 PJH.

1 3. The Court granted DLT's motion to dismiss on September 6, 2006, finding that the
2 first complaint, Case No. 05-03037 PJH, was duplicative of the Complaint in the subject action.

3 4. The parties previously stipulated that DLT had until October 18, 2006 to answer
4 the Complaint in the subject action.

5 5. The parties agree that DLT is granted a further extension up to and including
6 November 17, 2006 to answer the Complaint in the subject action.

7 6. The parties reached a tentative settlement agreement at a mediation in this matter
8 and are seeking the approval of the settlement by a workers' compensation insurance carrier in
9 order to finalize the settlement. The parties expect to obtain that approval within 30 days.

10 7. This case currently has a case management conference set for October 26, 2006 at
11 2:30 p.m.

12 8. In light of the further extension DLT has to answer the Complaint, it is stipulated
13 that the case management conference is continued to November 30, 2006 at 2:30 p.m.

14 9. The joint case management conference statement shall be due on November 22,
15 2006.

16
17 Dated: October 18, 2006

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

18
19 By /s/ Paul V. Lankford

Paul V. Lankford

Lynn R. Fiorentino

Attorneys for Defendant

DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

20
21
22
23 Dated: October ____, 2006

THE ARNS LAW FIRM

24
25
26 By /s/Morgan C. Smith

Morgan C. Smith

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/23/06 _____

